

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 1471 056207.50756C1 11/17/2003 Hiroshi Hirayama 10/713,270 EXAMINER 05/17/2004 23911 7590 SOLIS, ERICK R CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 14300 3747 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

P		, ^	Λ
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\forall \uparrow$
	10/713,270	HIRAYAMA ET AL.	,
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erick R Solis	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	7 November 2003.		
<i>,</i> —	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	·	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		6	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 November 2003</u> is	s/are: a)  accepted or b)  □	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	-		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/17/2003</u>.</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3747

## **Double Patenting**

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-10, 12 and 16 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6681742. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erick R Solis whose telephone number is (703) 308-2651. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Erick R Solis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747